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Abstract—Social skills training by human coaches is a well-established method to obtain appropriate social interaction skills and strengthen social self-efficacy. Our previous works automated social skills training by developing a virtual agent that teaches social skills through interaction. This study attempts to investigate the effect of virtual agent design on automated social skills training. We prepared images and videos of a virtual agent, and a total of 912 crowdsourced workers rated the virtual agents by answering questions. We investigated the acceptability, likeability, and other impressions of the virtual agents and their relationship to the individuals’ characteristics to design personalized virtual agents. As a result, a female anime-type virtual agent was rated as the most likable. We also confirmed that participants’ gender, age, and autistic traits are related to the ratings. We believe our findings are important in designing a personalized virtual trainer.

Clinical relevance—This study examines the effect of virtual agent design on social skills training. Our findings are important in designing a personalized virtual trainer.

I. INTRODUCTION

Social Skills Training (SST) is a method widely applied to people seeking to improve their social skills. This training is used in medical hospitals, employment support facilities, workplaces, schools, etc. [1]. SST is generally conducted by a human trainer to promote appropriate social interaction skills and strengthen social self-efficacy. We have been conducting studies to automate SST using virtual agents and have developed an automatic SST that resembles human SST. Our system includes video modeling of human behavior, real-time behavior recognition, and feedback. We previously confirmed the training effect in children and adults with autism spectrum disorder and in the general population [2], [3], [4]. The automated SST plays two roles: as a trainer and a listener. We confirmed that talking to a virtual agent is more comfortable and less tense than talking to a human [5]. Automatic SST targets various populations, from pediatric to adult males and females, and those with autism spectrum disorders and schizophrenia. However, for virtual agent design, what kind of virtual agents are more favored or more accepted has not been investigated. For automatic SST to be adapted and accepted by the individual, a detailed investigation is necessary. In this study, we focus on comparing virtual agent designs rather than humans and robots because they are easier to create.

Previous studies have compared various virtual agent designs from the viewpoint of appearance and behavior [6], [7], [8], realism [9], severity of dialogue scenarios, appropriateness when comparing proportions of the body and eye parts [10], as a voice for the elderly [11], gender, and racial impact on users’ self-efficacy [12]. This study applies these findings and rating measures to investigate the design of our virtual agents for the creation of more favorable and acceptable automated SSTs.

In this study, we newly prepared various virtual agent designs for training social skills and evaluated their likeability, acceptability, realism, familiarity, etc. We also investigated differences in preference for virtual agent designs by user gender, age, and autistic traits in order to create personalized virtual agents. The purpose of this research is summarized below.

1) To investigate virtual agent design in terms of automated SST.
2) To investigate the relationship between likeability and an individual’s characteristics (gender, age, and autistic traits).

II. METHODS AND MATERIALS

A. Design of Virtual Agents

We first prepared an illustration of a virtual agent as shown in Fig. 1. The virtual agent was designed by a design company specializing in Japanese animation. All characters face front with no emotional expression. Characters (a) and (b) (female) and (c) and (d) (male) were designed to unify their age and gender and to change only their realism. An inanimate object was created for (e) assuming usage by children. (f) was also created as a non-human organism (a dog) for usage by children. For (g), we chose a realistic 3D model virtual agent (www.renderhub.com/3d-models) similar in appearance to (a) and (b) and took a snapshot from the front. The virtual agent in (h) is the default character provided by the Greta platform [13], which is an embodied conversation agent that can be created with the Autodesk character generator (https://charactergenerator.autodesk.com/). (h) is intended to be used mainly in French and English-speaking cultures. The virtual agent in (f) was designed for Japanese females. In the current automated SST, (f) was selected as the virtual agent [4].

The sentence “Hello, let’s practice communication together” was embedded in the image as both a male and female voice. The utterance is 5 seconds in length and spoken by Google Text-to-Speech (https://cloud.google.
and the Toronto Alexithymia Scale-20 (TAS) [15] to assess alexithymia. In both cases, we calculated the total score. We did not calculate its subscales in this study. As for the relationship between the two questionnaires, the Spearman’s correlation coefficient was 0.67 ($p < 0.05$), which means a high correlation. The later analysis will use SRS as a measure of autistic traits. The mean and standard deviation of SRS was 72.0 (SD: 29.3). In this study, we used a cut-off value of 81 points [16] as the threshold for high and low SRS (high SRS: n=113, low SRS: n=192).

### D. Measures

Question items and scales were made with reference to the studies by Esposito et al. and Ring et al. [6], [7], [11], [10]. Question items consisted of acceptability as a trainer, acceptability as a listener, realism, familiarity, trustworthiness, eeriness, and the likeability of the face, eyes, perceived ages, voice, and overall. Each question was answered via a Google form. In dataset 1, each question item was answered after answering SRS and TAS. In Dataset 3, in addition to the above, we added the likeability of the clothes the agent wore because the video includes the entire upper body of the virtual agent. Participants first took a look at the list of all images (Fig. 1) to get an impression of all the virtual agents, then watched the individual virtual agents and answered each question. The questions were evaluated with a 5-point Likert scale (1: I don’t think so at all, 5: I think so very much).

Statistical software R was used for the analysis. Since the normality could not be confirmed in the ratings of the questions (Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, $p < 0.05$), the Kruskal-Wallis test was used for the difference in virtual agents. In the analysis for each group of gender, age, and SRS, we calculated the effect size $r$. We report the top three combinations of $r$ from all combinations of virtual agents and question items. We performed the Wilcoxon signed-rank test between two factors (e.g., images and videos). In this study, the significance level $\alpha$ value of the statistical hypothesis test was set to 0.05.

### III. RESULTS

First, we report on the differences in ratings between the virtual agents. The Kruskal-Wallis test confirms significant differences between the virtual agents in terms of likability and familiarity ($p < 0.05$). Regarding realism, the distribution is as expected by the original design (in the order of (b) < (a) < (g)). The most preferred virtual agent among the participants was (b), averaging 3.29 (SD: 1.0) (see Fig. 3). We can see that (b) is also highly evaluated in other question items. We also found that the male virtual agents (c) and (d) and the non-human virtual agents (e) and (f) have lower likeability than (b), and (h) has less likeability and less familiarity. Comparing the images and videos with respect to (h) and (i), making the video did not change the likeability significantly ($p > 0.05$). However, virtual agent (h) was found to have significantly increased familiarity by making the video, as shown in Fig. 4 ($p < 0.05$).
Table I lists the top three combinations of virtual agents and question items that have a high effect size r in terms of gender. Similarly, Table II lists the top three combinations with respect to age and Table III lists with respect to the level of the SRS. Regarding gender, males showed higher values than females in terms of likability of the face and overall likeability, and acceptability as a trainer for character (g). For ages, the higher age group showed higher values than the lower age group in terms of likability of eyes and face regarding (i). For SRS, the high SRS value group showed higher values than the low SRS value group in terms of likability of eyes and hair for character (g). Fig. 5 shows the difference between the SRS levels for character (g) (p < 0.05).

### IV. DISCUSSION

In this study, we investigated the acceptability, likeability, and various measures of virtual agents in designing automated SSTs. We were able to confirm the difference in the ratings of the virtual agents. First, it appears that the realism of the virtual agent design can be controlled by (a), (b), and (g). We found that virtual agent (b) was the most likable. (b) was originally designed as an anime-like teenage female character. As Japanese people are rather accustomed to watching anime-like videos, familiarity with such characters is high. On the other hand, virtual agents, such as inanimate objects (e) and animals (f), as well as (g) and (h), were less preferred. However, for children with autism spectrum disorders, virtual agents such as trains may be preferred [17]. We must consider the effect for younger
We found that the female virtual agent of (g) was rated as more preferred by male participants. In addition, since we confirmed that virtual agent (b) was also significantly highly rated by males, it appears that the male participants rate female virtual agents as more preferable. Regarding age, we found that virtual agent (i) was preferred by older age groups. Since (i) was made relatively old (originally designed for those in their 40s), it seems that the older group rated agents close in age to themselves as trustworthy. We also confirmed that the group with a high autistic trait showed a high rating for virtual agents (g) and (h). This virtual environment could be explored in other domains such as cognitive behavioral therapy [18].